

## Sample Solution to Problem Set 1

### 1. (6 points) Twenty questions

A friend challenges you to find out a positive integer number  $n$  that she has on her mind. For any guess you make, she is willing to tell you which of the following three possibilities is true:  $n$  is less than, greater than, or equal to your guess. You have no clue as to how large  $n$  is and would like to determine  $n$  with the smallest number of guesses.

Describe a guessing procedure for determining  $n$ . What is the number of guesses your procedure makes, as a function of  $n$ ? You must aim at keeping this function as small as possible.

**Answer:** Our algorithm consists of two phases. In the first phase, we find a number  $m$  such that  $m \leq n < 2m$ . In the next phase, we perform binary search in the range  $[m, 2m - 1]$ .

The first phase consists of the following iterative procedure. In the  $i$ th iteration, starting from  $i = 0$ , we guess  $2^i$ . Thus our guesses go as  $1, 2, 4, \dots$ . If  $2^i$  equals  $n$ , then we are done and we exit the algorithm. If  $2^i$  is greater than  $n$ , then we stop the first phase and set  $m$  to  $2^{i-1}$ . Otherwise, we continue to the next iteration. When the first phase completes, we have  $m \leq n < 2m$ .

In the second phase, we make our guesses according to a binary search in  $[m, 2m - 1]$ , and stop when we find  $n$ .

We now consider the number of guesses. The first phase completes in the earliest iteration  $i$  when  $2^i \geq n$ . That is,  $2^i \leq 2n$ , implying that  $i \leq \lg n + 1$ . Thus, the number of guesses in the first phase is  $i + 1 \leq \lg n + 2$ . The second phase, which is just a binary search in  $[m, 2m - 1]$  requires at most  $\lg m$  guesses. Since  $m \leq n$ ,  $\lg m \leq \lg n$ . Thus, the total number of guesses is at most  $2 \lg n + 2 = O(\lg n)$ .

### 2. (1 + 5 + 5 + 3 = 14 points) Bubblesort

Problem 2-2, page 38.

**Answer:**

- (a) We also need to show that array  $A'[1..n]$  consists of the same elements originally in  $A[1..n]$ .
- (b) Invariant for inner loop: At the start of any iteration of the inner loop,  $A[j] \leq A[k]$  for all  $k \geq j$ .

*Initialization:* For the base case, we let  $j = n$ , the length of the array. We need to prove that  $A[n] \leq A[k]$  for all  $k \geq n$ ; this is trivially true.

*Maintenance:* Assume that at the start of an iteration of the inner loop, we have  $A[j] \leq A[k]$  for all  $k \geq j$ . In this iteration  $A[j]$  is compared with  $A[j - 1]$  and swapped if  $A[j] < A[j - 1]$ . If the swap does not occur, then  $A[j - 1]$  is at most  $A[j]$ . If the swap occurs, then again  $A[j - 1]$  is at most  $A[j]$ . By our assumption,  $A[j]$  is at most  $A[k]$  for all  $k \geq j$ . Thus, after

the execution of this iteration of the loop,  $A[j-1] \leq A[k]$  for all  $k \geq j-1$ . Therefore, at the start of the next iteration, the desired claim is true.

*Termination:* At termination of an inner loop,  $j = i$ . Thus, we have:  $A[i] \leq A[k]$  for all  $k \geq i$ .

- (c) The effect of the  $i$ th outer loop is that the  $i$ th smallest element is moved to  $A[i]$ . In particular, we will show that the following invariant holds at the start of every iteration of the outer loop:  $A[1..i-1]$  contains the  $i-1$  smallest elements of  $A$  in sorted order and  $A[i..n]$  contains the remaining elements of  $A$ .

*Initialization:* When  $i = 1$ , we need to show that at the start of the first iteration,  $A[1..0]$  contains the 0 smallest elements of  $A$  in sorted order and  $A[1..n]$  contains the remaining elements of  $A$ . This is trivially true.

*Maintenance:* We assume first that at the start of an iteration of the outer loop,  $A[1..i-1]$  contains the  $i-1$  smallest elements of  $A$  in sorted order and  $A[i..n]$  contains the remaining elements of  $A$ . Now consider the next iteration of the outer loop. In this iteration, we execute the inner loop. By the termination of the inner loop ( $j = i$ ), we obtain that  $A[i] \leq A[k]$  for all  $k \geq i$ . Since  $A[1..i-1]$  contains the  $i-1$  smallest elements of  $A$  in sorted order and  $A[i]$  is the smallest of the remaining, we obtain that at the end of this iteration of the outer loop:  $A[1..i]$  contains the  $i$  smallest elements of  $A$  in sorted order and  $A[i+1..n]$  contains the remaining elements of  $A$ . This is the desired claim.

*Termination:* At termination of the outer loop,  $i = n+1$ . Thus, we have  $A[1..n]$  contains the  $n$  smallest elements of  $A$  in sorted order, which establishes inequality (2.3) of text, as required.

- (d) Line 3 is executed once for every iteration of the inner loop. The inner loop is executed  $n-1$  times for every iteration of the outer loop. The outer loop is executed  $n$  times. Therefore, line 3 is executed  $n(n-1) = n^2 - n$  times. This holds for *any* input instance.

Line 1 is executed  $n$  times, line 2  $n(n-1)$  times, and line 4 at most  $n(n-1)$  times. Therefore, the worst-case running time is  $\Theta(n^2)$ . It is the same as that for insertion sort.

### 3. (1 + 3 + 6 = 10 points) Inversions and insertion sort

Parts (a) through (c), Problem 2-4, pages 39-40.

*Hint for part (c):* If  $T(A)$  is the running time of insertion sort on input  $A$  of  $n$  numbers and  $I(A)$  is the number of inversions in  $A$ , then prove that  $T(A)$  is  $\Theta(I(A) + n)$ .

- (a) The five inversions are:  $(2, 1), (3, 1), (8, 6), (8, 1), (6, 1)$ .
- (b) The array that is inverse sorted has the maximum number of inversions since every pair  $(i, j)$  (for  $i < j$ ) is an inversion. How many pairs  $(i, j)$  such that  $i < j$  are there? For  $i = 1$ , there are  $n-1$  possible values for  $j$ . For  $i = 2$ , there are  $n-2$  possible values for  $j$ . In general, for  $i$ , there are  $n-i$  possible values for  $j$ . Therefore, the total number of inversions in an inverse sorted array equals:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (n-i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k = \frac{(n-1)n}{2}.$$

- (c) Consider what happens in the  $j$ th for loop of insertion sort. (See pseudocode on page 17 of text.) At the start of this for loop, all of the elements in  $A[1..j-1]$  have been sorted. In the for loop, we first set  $key$  to  $A[j]$  (line 2) and then compare it with elements  $A[j-1]$ ,  $A[j-2]$ ,  $\dots$ , (the while-loop in lines 5 through 7) until an element smaller than or equal to  $key$  is found. Thus the total number of executions of the while-statement is exactly equal to 1 more than the number of elements that are in the first  $(j-1)$  positions and are greater than  $A[j]$ . But the elements that are in the first  $(j-1)$  positions and are greater than  $A[j]$  exactly correspond to the number of inversions of the form  $(\cdot, j)$  in  $A$ . Thus, if we add over all of the for loops, we get that the total number of while loop executions is  $I(A) + (n-1)$  ( $I(A)$  is the number of inversions in  $A$ ). Since there are only a constant number of operations in each while loop, the running time of insertion sort is  $\Theta(I(A) + n)$ .

#### 4. (10 points) Ordering functions

Arrange the following functions in order from the slowest growing function to the fastest growing function. Briefly justify your answers. (*Hint:* It may help to plot the functions and obtain an estimate of their relative growth rates. It may also help to express each function as a power of 2 and then compare.)

$$n^{1/2} \quad n^2 + \lg n \quad n(\lg n)^5 \quad (\lg \lg n)^2 \quad \lg n$$

**Answer:** The order from slowest growing to the fastest growing function is:  $(\lg \lg n)^2$ ,  $\lg n$ ,  $n^{1/2}$ ,  $n(\lg n)^5$ , and  $n^2 + \lg n$ . While a justification based on plots and/or informal arguments was acceptable for this homework, you must be prepared to provide formal proofs for your claims such as what follows. We prove our ordering using four steps: (i)  $(\lg \lg n)^2 = o(\lg n)$ , (ii)  $\lg n = o(n^{1/2})$ , (iii)  $n^{1/2} = o(n \lg^5 n)$ , and (iv)  $n \lg^5 n = o(n^2 + \lg n)$ .

- (i) We use the connection between asymptotic notation and limits. Let  $m = \lg n$ . We get  $(\lg \lg n)^2 = \lg^2 m$  and  $\lg n = m$ . So we are comparing  $\lg^2 m$  and  $m$ . As  $n$  tends to infinity, so does  $m$ . Therefore, we calculate the following limit.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg^2 m}{m} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \lg m}{m \ln 2} \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{m \ln^2 2} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we use L'Hopital's rule twice. We thus obtain that  $\lg^2 m = o(m)$  yielding  $(\lg \lg n)^2 = o(\lg n)$ .

- (ii) We again use the connection between asymptotic notation and limits.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg n}{n^{1/2}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{(n \ln 2)(n^{-1/2})} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{\ln 2 \sqrt{n}} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use L'Hopital's rule in the first step. Therefore,  $\lg n = o(n^{1/2})$ .

(iii) We again use the connection between asymptotic notation and limits.

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{1/2}}{n(\lg n)^5} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/2}(\lg n)^5} \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $n^{1/2} = o(n(\lg n)^5)$ .

(iv) We again use the connection between asymptotic notation and limits.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \lg^5 n}{n^2 + \lg n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg^5 n}{n + \frac{\lg n}{n}}$$

Here,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg n}{n} = 0$ , so we can focus on:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg^5 n}{n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5 \lg^4 n}{(\ln 2)n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5!}{(\ln^5 2)n} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $n(\lg n)^5 = o(n^2 + \lg n)$ .