

## Lecture Outline:

- Primal-dual schema
- Primal-dual for set cover

This lecture describes the primal-dual schema, and also an application of this method to design approximation algorithms.

## 1 Primal-dual schema

In a previous lecture we have introduced the concept of duality for LP problems. A common form of the LP problem with its duality is showed below:

<i>Primal</i>	<i>Duality</i>
$\min \sum_i c_i x_i$	$\max \sum_j b_j y_j$
$s.t. \sum_i a_{ij} x_i \geq b_j$	$s.t. \sum_j a_{ij} y_j \leq c_i$
$x_i \geq 0$	$y_j \geq 0$

From the principle of duality, a feasible solution of the dual in fact sets a lower bound for the primal problem. We here use a concept of **complementary slackness** to help us illustrate the connection between the two solutions of the primal and duality problem:

**Definition 1.** The **complementary slackness** condition is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Primal} \quad &: x_i > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_j a_{ij} y_j = c_i \\ \text{Dual} \quad &: y_j > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_i a_{ij} x_i = b_j \end{aligned}$$

Then the following theorem will show this connection:

**Theorem 1.** If  $(x, y)$  satisfies **complementary slackness**, then  $x$  and  $y$  are optimal solutions for primal and dual problems, respectively.

**Proof:** From the forms of the primal and duality, if complementary slackness is satisfied, we will have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i c_i x_i &= \sum_i \left( \sum_j a_{ij} y_j \right) * x_i \\ \sum_j b_j y_j &= \sum_j \left( \sum_i a_{ij} x_i \right) * y_j\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the RHS of the two inequations are equal. So we have

$$\sum_i c_i x_i = \sum_j b_j y_j$$

implying that  $x$  and  $y$  are both optimal by duality. □

So we can use the complementary slackness to solve LP problems for an optimal solution. While for integer LP, since it is NP-Hard, we need to get an approximately optimal solution in polynomial time. In order to apply the complementary slackness theorem into the approximate situation, we define a **relaxed slackness** as follows:

**Definition 2.**

$$\begin{aligned}Primal \quad : \quad x_i > 0 &\Rightarrow \frac{c_i}{\alpha} \leq \sum_j a_{ij} y_j \leq c_i \\ Dual \quad : \quad y_j > 0 &\Rightarrow b_j \geq \sum_i a_{ij} x_i \geq \beta b_j\end{aligned}$$

And accordingly, we will fit 1 into the approximate situation with the description below:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $(x, y)$  satisfies **relaxed complementary slackness**, then  $x$  and  $y$  are  $\alpha\beta$ -optimal for both primal and dual problem.*

**Proof:** Based on the definition of relaxed complementary slackness, we will have

$$\sum_i c_i x_i \leq \alpha \sum_i \left( \sum_j a_{ij} y_j \right) * x_i \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_j b_j y_j \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_j \left( \sum_i a_{ij} x_i \right) * y_j \tag{2}$$

Then we can get

$$\frac{\text{cost of } x}{\text{cost of } y} = \frac{\sum_i c_i x_i}{\sum_j b_j y_j} \leq \alpha\beta$$

which indicates that the duality yields a  $\alpha\beta$ -approximation solution. □

Based on the property of the relaxed complementary slackness, the primal-dual schema for LP solution can be done in an iterative process: start with a dual feasible solution, then we try to improve this dual problem, until the improved solution reaches satisfied the relaxed complementary slack conditions.

## 2 Primal-dual for set cover

In this section we will apply the primal-dual schema to the set cover problem. Recall the primal problem of set cover with universe  $U$  of elements and collection  $C$  of sets, and its dual:

<i>Primal</i>	<i>Duality</i>
$\min \sum_{S \in C} c(S)x_S$	$\max \sum_{e \in U} y_e$
$s.t. \quad \sum_{S: e \in S} x_S \geq 1, \forall e \in U$	$s.t. \quad \sum_{e \in S} y_e \leq c(S), \forall S \in C$
$x_j \geq 0, \forall j$	$y_e \geq 0, \forall e$

As mentioned above, we will take an iterative process to get the approximate solution. First of all, we start with a primal unfeasible but dual feasible solution

$$x = 0, y = 0$$

The iterative algorithm is as following:

- While any element is uncovered
  1. Pick any uncovered elements  $e$
  2. Increase  $y_e$  until some set  $S$  become tight, i.e.,  $\sum_{e \in S} y_e = c(S)$ .
  3. Add  $S$  to solution.

According to the definition of the relaxed complementary slackness, we will have

$$x_S > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{e \in S} y_e = c(S) \quad \alpha = 1 \tag{3}$$

$$y_e > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{S: e \in S} x_S \leq f_e \quad \beta = \max_e f_e \tag{4}$$

Here we let  $f_e$  be the number of the sets that contain  $e$ . So from the above analysis we see that the primal-dual algorithm will get a  $f$ -approximate solution, where  $f$  is the maximum frequency of any element.