

# Introduction to Queuing Theory: Applications to Networks

Guevara Noubir

Textbook: D. Bertsekas, R. Gallager, “Data Networks”, Prentice-Hall.

# Queuing Theory

- Study of the performance of systems composed of
  - Waiting lines
  - Processing units
- Allows to estimate
  - Time spent waiting
  - Expected number of waiting requests
  - Probability of encountering some states
- Useful for the design systems such as networks
  - Delay, blocking probability, links bandwidth, number of processors, buffers size

# Examples

- Sliding window ARQ mechanism performance
  - Expected delay of a packet
- Medium access control protocol
  - E.g., IEEE 802.11
- Traffic/Packets multiplexing
  - Average delay when multiple links are grouped
  - Average queue size
- Cellular networks
  - Blocking probability
  - Dropping probability
- Webserver

# Outline

- Delay Models
- Little's Theorem
- The  $M/M/1$  queuing system
- The  $M/G/1$  queuing system
- Other queuing systems

# Delay Models

- *Delay* (or *latency*) of data packet is an important measure of the performance of a network

*Delay* = *PropagationDelay* + *TransmissionDelay* + *QueuingDelay*

*PropagationDelay* = *Distance/SpeedOfLight* (independent of message size)

*TransmissionDelay* = *MessageSize/Bandwidth* (Bandwidth = data-rate here)

*QueuingDelay* = delay due to time spent waiting in queues (**most important delay**)

- The queuing delay depends on several parameters:
  - Arrival process
  - Service discipline
  - Processing delay
  - Others: bandwidth of the link, buffer size

# Queuing Theory Framework

- Queuing system:
  - Servers (one or several): e.g., router, computer processor, webserver with back-end processes
  - Customers: e.g., users, packets, web requests
  - Queues: customers wait in queues before getting services

\

# Little Theorem (1961)

- Measurement quantities of interest:
  - $T$ : average delay incurred by a customer
  - $N$ : average number of customers in the system
- Little's Theorem:  
 $N = \lambda T$  where  $\lambda$  is the rate of the arrival process
- Little's Theorem provides a general and fundamental relation between  $N$ ,  $T$ , and  $\lambda$ . It is independent of the nature of the arrival process or of the service time distribution.

# Proof of Little's Theorem

- Notation:
  - $\alpha(t)$ : number of users that arrived before time  $t$
  - $\beta(t)$ : number of users that departed before time  $t$
  - $T_i$  time spent by user  $i$  within the system
  - $N(t)$  number of users in the system at time  $t$
- Arrival rate:  $\lambda(t) = \frac{\alpha(t)}{t}$ 
  - $\lambda$  is the limit
- Average time within the system:  $T(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha(t)} T_i$
- Average number of users at time  $t$ :
$$N_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t N(\tau) d\tau$$



## Proof (Cont'd)

- The usage of the system can be bounded:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\beta(t)} T_i \leq \int_0^t N(\tau) d\tau \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha(t)} T_i$$

$$\frac{\beta(t)}{t} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\beta(t)} T_i}{\beta(t)} \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t N(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{\alpha(t)}{t} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha(t)} T_i}{\alpha(t)}$$

- Taking the limit when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\lambda T \leq N \leq \lambda T$$

# Application: Flow Control

- Sliding window flow control
  - e.g., Go-Back-N or Selective Repeat with window size:  $W$
- The number of packets in the system is always less than  $W$ :  
 $\lambda T = N \leq W$
- Conclusion:
  - for a given window size, if  $T$  increases, then the arrival rate has to be decreased
  - for a given arrival rate, if  $T$  increases, then the window size has to be increased
  - for a given  $T$ , if the arrival rate increases, then the window size has to be increased

# The $M/M/1$ queuing system

- Notation:
  - Arrival Process/Departure Process/Number of servers
- Little's Theorem is a general tool that allows us to calculate the steady-state average delay of a queuing system
- Examples:
  - $M$ : memoryless,  $G$ : general,  $D$ : deterministic, 1: number of servers in the system
- $M/M/1$ :
  - Arrival rate is Poisson distributed
  - Service time is exponentially distributed
  - These two processes are independent

# Poisson Process

- A Poisson process with arrival rate  $\lambda$ :

- The probability distribution function (pdf):

$$\Pr(n \text{ arrivals in interval } [t, t + \tau]) = \frac{e^{-\lambda\tau} (\lambda\tau)^n}{n!}$$

- The arrival distribution of two disjoint intervals is independent

- Properties:

- expected number of arrivals in a length- $\tau$  interval is:  $\lambda\tau$ .

# Poisson Process (Cont'd)

- Probabilities for small intervals:

- $\Pr(0 \text{ arrival}) = e^{-\lambda\delta}/0! = 1 - \lambda\delta + o(\delta)$
- $\Pr(1 \text{ arrival}) = \lambda\delta e^{-\lambda\delta}/1! = \lambda\delta + o(\delta)$
- $\Pr(2 \text{ arrivals}) = (\lambda\delta)^2 e^{-\lambda\delta}/2! = o(\delta)$

If  $\delta$  tends to 0, then we have  $\Pr(0 \text{ arrivals}) = 1 - \lambda\delta$ , and  $\Pr(1 \text{ arrival}) = \lambda\delta$ .

- Inter-arrival times:

- Let  $t_n$  be the arrival time of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  customer and  $\tau_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$
- Then:  $\Pr(\tau_n > s) = e^{-\lambda s}$  ( $\Rightarrow$  exponential distribution)

# Other Properties

- Poisson processes are used to model the traffic of a large number of similar and independent users
- If  $n$  independently and identically distributed packet arrival processes (rate  $\lambda/n$ ) occur at the head of a link then the aggregated process can be shown to be well approximated by a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$ .  $n$  is considered to be a large value.
- The aggregation of  $k$  independent Poisson processes of rates  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  yields a Poisson process of rate:  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k$

# Exponential Service Time

- Let  $s_n$  denote the service time for the  $n^{th}$  customer. The service time distribution is exponential with parameter  $\mu$  if:

$$\Pr[s_n \leq s] = 1 - e^{-\mu s}$$

- The expected service time for a job is:  $1/\mu$
- The exponential service time is memoryless in the sense that:  
$$\Pr(s_n > r + t \mid s_n > t) = \Pr(s_n > r)$$
- Poisson processes are closely related to exponential distributions: inter-arrival times of a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$  have an exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ .

# Analysis of the $M/M/1$ Queuing System

- The state of the system is captured by the number of customers in the system at time  $t$
- We consider a discrete version of the process evolution:
  - Time:  $0, \delta, 2\delta, 3\delta, \dots, k\delta, \dots$
  - $N_k$ : number of customers at time  $k\delta$ ,
- Properties:
  - $\Pr[N_{k+1} = l \mid N_k = l] = \sum_{i \geq 0} \Pr[i \text{ arrivals and } i \text{ departures in } \delta \text{ interval}]$
  - $\Pr[N_{k+1} = 0 \mid N_k = 0] \approx 1 - \lambda\delta + (\lambda\delta)(\mu\delta) \approx 1 - \lambda\delta$



## M/M/1 Analysis (Cont'd)

- Let:  $P_{i,j} = \Pr[N_{k+1}=j \mid N_k = i]$
- $P_{0,0} = 1 - \lambda\delta + o(\delta) \approx 1 - \lambda\delta$
- $P_{i,i} = 1 - \lambda\delta - \mu\delta + o(\delta) \approx 1 - \lambda\delta - \mu\delta$  (for  $i \geq 1$ )
- $P_{i,i+1} = \lambda\delta + o(\delta) \approx \lambda\delta$  (for  $i \geq 0$ )
- $P_{i,i-1} = \mu\delta + o(\delta) \approx \mu\delta$  (for  $i \geq 1$ )
- $P_{i,j} = o(\delta) \approx o(\delta)$  (for  $j \neq i, i+1, i-1$ )
- The state transitions represent a Markov chain

# Stationary Distribution of a System

- After a long period of time the system reaches a *steady state*
- Let:  $p_i = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \Pr[N_k = i]$
- From the Markov chain diagram we have:
  - $p_i = p_{i-1}(\lambda\delta) + p_i(1 - \lambda\delta - \mu\delta) + p_{i+1}(\mu\delta)$
  - Hence:  $(p_i - p_{i+1}) = \rho(p_{i-1} - p_i)$ , where  $\rho = \lambda/\mu$
  - Let  $\Delta_i = p_i - p_{i+1}$ , then  $\Delta_i = \rho\Delta_{i-1}$  (for  $i > 0$ )
  - We also have:  $\Delta_0 = (1 - \rho)p_0$

# Stationary Distribution of a System

$$p_i = p_0 - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \Delta_j$$

$$p_i = p_0 - (1 - \rho)p_0 \frac{1 - \rho^i}{1 - \rho}$$

$$p_i = \rho^i p_0$$

- Since:  $\sum_{i \geq 0} p_i = 1$ , then  $p_0 = 1 - \rho$ , and  $p_i = \rho^i (1 - \rho)$

# Steady State Averages

- Steady state average number of customers:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ip_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i\rho^i (1 - \rho) = \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho}$$

- Average delay  $T$  (using Little's Th.):

$$T = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda}$$

- Average waiting time  $W$ :  
(delay-service time)

$$W = \frac{\lambda}{\mu(\mu - \lambda)}$$

# Applications

- Scaling up the arrival rate and service rate
  - If we increase the arrival and service rates by the same factor then average number of customers in the system stays the same, while the average delay goes down
- Multiplexing several connections on one link
  - Benefit of statistical multiplexing

# App1: Network Switch

- Consider a terminal concentrator:
  - 4 input lines, each line of 64 Mbps
  - 1 output line of 128 Mbps
  - Mean packet size is 12800 bits
  - Each of the four input lines delivers Poisson traffic with  $\lambda_i = 2,000$  pkts/s
- Mean delay of a packet within the concentrator:
  - $\lambda = 8,000$  pkts/s,  $\mu = 10,000$  pkts/s,  $T = 1/(\mu - \lambda) = 500$  us
- Average number of packets within the concentrator:
  - $N = \rho / (1 - \rho) = 4$

# App1: Network Switch (Cont'd)

- Remarks:
  - The output line is capable of handling the generated traffic ( $128\text{Mbps} > 12800 * 8000$ ), but a substantial input queue builds up.
  - The reason is the randomness of the arrivals
- Usefulness of modeling and analysis:
  - Delay estimation
  - Buffers dimensioning

## App 2: Statistical Multiplexing vs. Dedicated Channels

- Let a system consist of:
  - Two computers connected using a 64Mbps line
  - 8 parallel sessions
  - Each session generates Poisson traffic with  $\lambda_i = 2000$  pkts/s
  - Packets length is exponentially distributed with mean 2000 bits.
- Two possible strategies:
  - Give each session a dedicated portion of the channel (e.g. TDM or FDM)
  - Have all the packets compete for the shared channel



## App 2: Statistical Multiplexing vs. Dedicated Channels (Cont'd)

- Dedicated channels (8\*8Mbps):
  - $\lambda = 2000$  pkts/s,  $\mu = 4000$  pkts/s
  - $T = 1/(\mu - \lambda) = 500$  us
- Statistical multiplexing:
  - $\lambda = 16000$  pkts/s,  $\mu = 32000$  pkts/s
  - $T = 1/(\mu - \lambda) = 62.5$  us
- Explanation: because of the randomness of the arrival rate, some of the dedicated channel may be unused (because the corresponding session is idle) while packets are queued for other sessions

# The $M/G/1$ System

- $M/G/1$  system:
  - Arrival rate is Poisson
  - Service time has a general distribution
- It is not possible to derive a closed-form stationary distribution (as in  $M/M/1$ ) but we can derive other results
- Assume that:
  - Customers are served on a FCFS basis
  - $X_i$  (service time of  $i^{th}$  arrival) identically distributed, mutually independent, and independent of the inter-arrival times

# P-K Formula

- Average service time:  $\overline{X} = E\{X\} = \frac{1}{\mu}$        $\overline{X^2} = E\{X^2\}$
- Second moment of service time:
- *Pollaczek-Khinchin* (P-K) formula:  $W = \frac{\lambda \overline{X^2}}{2(1-\rho)}$
- Then:  $T = \overline{X} + \frac{\lambda \overline{X^2}}{2(1-\rho)}$
- Using Little's Theorem:  $N_Q = \frac{\lambda^2 \overline{X^2}}{2(1-\rho)}$ ;  $N = \rho + \frac{\lambda^2 \overline{X^2}}{2(1-\rho)}$

# Verification of P-K Formula for Exponentially Distributed Service Time

- When service times are exponentially distributed as in the  $M/M/1$  system:

$$\overline{X} = 1 / \mu; \overline{X^2} = 2 / \mu^2$$

$$W = \frac{\rho}{\mu(1 - \rho)}; T = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda}$$

- When the service time is identical for all customers:  $M/D/1$ :

$M/D/1$  provides lower bounds for  $W$ ,  $T$ ,  $N_Q$ , and  $N$

$$\overline{X} = 1 / \mu; \overline{X^2} = 1 / \mu^2$$

$$W = \frac{\rho}{2\mu(1 - \rho)}$$

# Proof of the P-K Formula

- We use the concept of *mean residual service time*
- Notation:
  - $W_i$ : waiting time of customer  $i$
  - $R_i$ : residual time to completion of the current customer at instant when  $i$  arrives ( $R_i=0$ , if no customer is being serviced)
  - $Q_i$ : number of customers waiting in queue when  $i$  arrives
- Since customers are serviced in order, we have:

$$W_i = R_i + \sum_{j=i-Q_i}^{i-1} X_j$$

$$W = R + N_Q \bar{X}$$

# Proof of the P-K Formula (Cont'd)

- From Little's Theorem:  $N_Q = W\lambda$ , then:  $W = R/(1-\rho)$

$$R = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t R(\tau) d\tau$$

$$R = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{\beta(t)} \frac{1}{2} X_i^2$$

$$R = \frac{\lambda \overline{X^2}}{2}$$

- Thus the P-K formula

# Why Poisson Assumption?

- Where did we use the Poisson Process arrivals assumption?
  - At the moment when a packet arrives the queue is typical
    - $\lim P\{N(t) = n \mid \text{an arrival occurred just after } t\} = \lim \{N(t) = n\}$
    - Section 3.3.2
  - If arrival not Poisson:
    - Inter-arrival: uniformly distributed between 2 and 4 seconds
    - Customer service time is: 1 second
    - $\Rightarrow$  An arriving customer finds the queue empty
    - $\Rightarrow$  but an external customer sees a average queue length of  $1/3$

# Unstable $M/G/1$ Systems

- For several probability distribution functions the second moment is finite (proportional to the square of the mean): e.g., exponential, constant, uniform. However, it is not general to all distributions.
- Let  $X$  be the random variable representing the service time for a customer s.t.:
  - $\Pr[X=1] = 2/3$ ;  $\Pr[X=2^i] = 1/4^i$  (for  $i > 0$ )
  - The mean of  $X$  is finite, but the second moment is infinite
  - In this kind of systems we may have an accumulations of arrivals that exceeds the service capability



# Applications of $M/G/1$ : GBN ARQ

- Simplified analysis of Go-Back-n ARQ:
  - No-modulus, all acknowledgements are received
  - If the lowest number in the window is not ACKed by the end of the window the sender assumes that the error occurred and starts retransmitting
  - Errors are independent from one to another
  - All frames take a unit of time to be transmitted
- The service time distribution is:
  - $\Pr[X=1+ni] = p^i(1-p) \ (i \geq 0)$

# Applications of $M/G/1$ : GBN ARQ

- If the packets are generated at the sender by a Poisson process, then we have an  $M/G/1$  system:

$$\overline{X} = 1 + \frac{np}{1-p}$$

$$\overline{X^2} = 1 + \frac{2np}{1-p} + \frac{n^2(p+p^2)}{(1-p)^2}$$

$$W = \frac{\lambda \overline{X^2}}{2(1-\lambda \overline{X})}$$

$$T = \overline{X} + W$$

Formulas good to know:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^k = \frac{1}{1-p}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kp^k = \frac{p}{(1-p)^2}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 p^k = \frac{p+p^2}{(1-p)^3}$$

# $M/G/1$ with Priorities

- System with priority:
  - Customers are divided into classes:  $1 \dots k$
  - Customers in class  $i$  are given priority over customers of class  $j$  (for any  $j > i$ )
  - Non-preemptive
  - Customers are served in their order of arrival
- Notation:
  - Arrival process for class  $i$ : Poisson with rate  $\lambda_i$
  - Service time of customers of class  $i$ :  $X_i$
  - $W_i$  average waiting time for a customer in class  $i$
  - $R$  average residual time
  - $Q_i$  average number of customers of class  $i$  waiting in queue

# $M/G/1$ with Priorities (Cont'd)

Class 1

$$W_1 = R + Q_1 \overline{X_1}$$

$$Q_1 = W_1 \lambda_1$$

$$\Rightarrow W_1 = \frac{R}{1 - \rho_1}$$

Class 2

$$W_2 = R + Q_1 \overline{X_1} + Q_2 \overline{X_2} + \lambda_1 W_2 \overline{X_1}$$

$$Q_i = W_i \lambda_i$$

$$\Rightarrow W_2 = \frac{R}{(1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_1 - \rho_2)}$$

Class  $i$

$$W_i = \frac{R}{(1 - \rho_1 - \dots - \rho_{k-1})(1 - \rho_1 - \dots - \rho_k)}$$

## *M/G/1* with Priorities (Cont'd)

- As for the P-K formula:  $R = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \overline{X_i^2}$
- Thus the average waiting time for a customer in class  $i$ :

$$W_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \overline{X_i^2}}{2(1 - \rho_1)} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \overline{X_i^2}}{2(1 - \rho_1 - \dots - \rho_{i-1})(1 - \rho_1 - \dots - \rho_i)} & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases}$$

# M/M/m Markov System

- $m$  servers
- Steady state probabilities:

$$\rho = \frac{\lambda}{m\mu} < 1$$

$$p_n = \begin{cases} p_0 \frac{(m\rho)^n}{n!} & n \leq m \\ p_0 \frac{m^m \rho^n}{m!} & n > m \end{cases}$$

$$p_0 = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{(m\rho)^n}{n!} + \frac{(m\rho)^m}{m!(1-\rho)} \right]^{-1}$$

Erlang C Formula: probability of having to wait for service

$$P\{\text{Queuing}\} = P_Q = \frac{p_0 (m\rho)^m}{m!(1-\rho)} \quad W = \frac{\rho P_Q}{\lambda(1-\rho)}$$

# *M/M/m/m* Markov System

- m servers, no queuing
- Steady state probabilities:

$$p_n = p_0 \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!}; p_0 = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^m \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^n \right]^{-1}$$

Blocking probability: Erlang B formula

$$p_m = \frac{(\lambda / \mu)^m / m!}{\sum_{n=0}^m (\lambda / \mu)^n / n!}$$

# Application: Throughput in a Time Sharing System

- Assumptions:
  - $N$  terminals, one processor, one queue
  - Terminals are always occupied
  - System activity: users log-on, reflection ( $R$  on average), submit task to the processor, tasks are queued, tasks execution takes on average  $P$  units of time
- The delay for a user task is on average  $T$  s.t.:

$$R+P \leq T \leq R + NP$$

Using Little's Theorem:  $\frac{N}{R + NP} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{N}{R + P}$



## Time Sharing (Cont'd)

- The processor is also a queuing system where  $N \leq 1$
- In the steady state mode: the arrival rate in the system is the same as for the processor
- Using Little's Theorem a second time:  $\lambda P \leq 1$

- Combining these two bounds we get:

$$\lambda \leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{P}, \frac{N}{R + P}\right\} = \frac{1}{P} \min\left\{1, \frac{N}{1 + R/P}\right\}$$

- The smallest term indicates the bottleneck

# App 1: Blocking Probability

- Consider a queuing system with:
  - $K$  servers
  - $N \geq K$  in system customers (in service + waiting)
  - Departing customers are immediately replaced by new customers
  - $\bar{X}$  is the average customer service time
- Average customer time in the system  $T$  ?
  - $T = N/\lambda$  and  $K = \lambda \bar{X}$
  - Thus:  $T = N\bar{X} / K$

## App 1: Blocking Probability (Cont'd)

- Assume that customers are blocked (and lost) if the system is full:
  - $\beta$  is the proportion of customers that are blocked
  - The system may go through moments where less than  $K$  servers are active
  - Then:  $\overline{K} = (1 - \beta)\lambda\overline{X}$

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{\overline{K}}{\lambda\overline{X}} \geq 1 - \frac{K}{\lambda\overline{X}}$$